TOK exhibition examiner's comments

Mark awarded	6
Marks available	10

Example G

Three objects have been identified and are specific to an established context. The candidate did not need to include an introduction, which in this case is neither helpful nor informative. While this is not penalised, it is not a constructive use of the word count. There is also no requirement to make links between the different objects, although in this case this approach did help to make some further connections to the prompt. There is some repetition in the points being made about objects 1 and 2 in the treatment of the clash between scientific and religious beliefs. Some of the thinking here is simplistic. Object 3 implies an important point about what is needed for scientific beliefs to change and the opportunity was missed to develop this further. There is an attempt to provide a distinct justification for why each object is included but a lot of the same ground is covered between objects 1 and 2, and the potentially interesting nuance between the two is dealt with superficially. An adequate exhibition.